Superannuation performance

Financial year 2021/22



Superannuation performance

Global financial markets experienced heightened volatility over the past financial year driven by the emergence of new strains of COVID-19, the invasion of Ukraine by Russia, persistently high inflation, tightening of monetary policy worldwide and strict COVID-19 restrictions in China. While market performance during the first half of the last financial year was mainly impacted by COVID-19 related factors, market performance during the second half was predominantly impacted by the news of Russia invading Ukraine, global central banks raising interest rates and the continuation of China's zero COVID-19 policy. Over the FY22, the median superannuation fund returned -3%, while property and infrastructure returned in the double digits. Traditional asset classes suffered during the year with negative returns for both equities and bonds across the board.

Chart 1: Financial year periodic return table

Financ	ial yea	ar period	dic table													Source:	Bloomberg, Super	Ratings. Data provided as is
16.0%	28.6%	26.0%	39.0%	29.2%	14.6%	10.8%	17.7%	25.4%	12.4%	31.0%	23.2%	23.7%	17.2%	20.5%	15.0%	13.2%	5.6%	35.5% 12.3%
12.5%	24.4%	23.5%	24.0%	26.6%	12.9%	10.0%	17.5%	13.9%	12.0%	22.0%	19.1%	16.0%	13.5%	20.0%	14.5%	11.5%	5.2%	29.5% 12.0%
11.1%	21.7%	22.5%	21.1%	24.9%	7.9%	5.5%	15.0%	11.9%	11.6%	21.9%	18.9%	11.8%	9.3%	15.2%	13.2%	11.4%	4.2%	28.5% 0.1%
9.8%	20.1%	14.0%	18.4%	19.1%	7.3%	4.4%	13.1%	10.6%	10.7%	16.9%	17.3%	10.6%	8.8%	13.8%	12.6%	9.6%	4.2%	-3.4%
9.2%	13.2%	13.4%	17.2%	19.1%	4.4%	-2.9%	12.5%	9.5%	9.4%	15.4%	12.7%	10.3%	7.0%	12.8%	12.4%	9.0%	1.5%	17.9% -6.8%
5.0%	11.9%	11.9%	14.5%	15.7%	3.9%	-12.4%	11.5%	8.7%	4.7%	14.7%	10.6%	9.6%	2.8%	12.7%	11.2%	7.7%	1.0%	11.2% -8.7%
-1.6%	5.3%	11.6%	5.8%	9.0%	-6.4%	-12.7%	9.8%	6.9%	0.5%	8.9%	9.9%	5.6%	2.2%	10.5%	9.2%	7.2%	0.8%	8.9% -9.3%
-4.5%	4.6%	7.8%	3.4%	6.4%	-7.0%	-14.8%	7.9%	5.5%	-0.5%	6.5%	9.4%	5.6%	0.9%	3.7%	3.1%	6.9%	-0.8%	3.3% -10.5%
-10.4%	4.1%	5.6%	1.2%	6.0%	-12.2%	-16.2%	6.4%	5.0%	-2.0%	4.6%	7.8%	5.6%	-0.3%	1.8%	1.9%	6.7%	-1.7%	0.1% -13.5%
-18.0%	2.3%	0.9%	0.5%	5.7%	-13.7%	-20.3%	3.9%	2.6%	-7.0%	3.3%	6.1%	4.2%	-2.2%	0.5%	1.8%	5.5%	-2.3%	-0.2% -13.8%
				4.0%	-19.6%	-28.1%	3.2%	1.0%	-12.1%	2.8%	2.7%	2.6%	-9.0%	0.2%	0.7%	2.0%	-7.6%	-0.8%
2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021 2022
Asset class Australian e Internation			ational equities (hd ing market equities		d property d infrastructure		tralian bonds bal bonds		Global credit Cash		Superannuatio	n					Ffro	ntier

Superannuation performance

With equity markets struggling and a sell off in bond markets, performance was quite weak for MySuper member options. Most funds produced negative returns, with performance across the top ten funds ranging from -1.9% to 1.6%. Strategies with a larger proportion of unlisted assets across infrastructure, property and private equity performed better.

Table 1 below ranks the performance of the top ten super funds covered by SuperRatings' reporting for the one-year period through June 2022. It includes growth asset ratio, size of the fund and multiple rolling time periods through ten years.

Fund	Growth asset ratio	Size \$m	Rolling 1 year %	Rolling 1 year rank	Rolling 3 year %	Rolling 3 year rank	Rolling 5 year %	Rolling 5 year rank	Rolling 10 year %	Rolling 10 year rank
Hostplus - Balanced	76	34,966	1.6	1	6.5	2	7.8	1	9.7	1
QANTAS Super Gateway - Growth	70	759	0.6	2	6.7	1	7.5	2	8.1	19
Christian Super – My Ethical Super	75	1,199	0.5	3	5.8	3	6.3	14	7.9	28
Australian Retirement Trust - Super Savings - Balanced	70	6,678	-1.0	4	5.5	5	7.2	5	9.0	3
legalsuper - MySuper Balanced	76	2,588	-1.0	5	5.0	13	6.2	17	8.3	16
Energy Super - Balanced	75	916	-1.2	6	4.2	30	5.3	38	8.0	24
Australian Catholic Superannuation and Retirement Fund - Balanced	74	472	-1.2	7	4.8	17	6.1	18	7.8	34
CareSuper - Balanced	69	13,516	-1.7	8	5.0	11	6.4	13	8.7	6
HESTA - Balanced Growth	70	51,082	-1.8	9	5.3	6	6.8	6	8.5	8
TelstraSuper Corporate Plus - Balanced	69	2,371	-1.9	10	4.9	14	6.0	23	8.5	12
SuperRatings SR50 Balanced median	70	2,020	-3.4	-	4.3		5.9	-	8.0	-

Table 1: Performance through 30 June 2022

Source: SuperRatings

First Super is not a participant of the SuperRatings survey however posted a 1.0% positive return for the 1 year period through June 2022.



A tale of two halves

Chart 2 compares performance for the six months to December 2021 (when returns were positive) with the six months to June 2022 (when returns were negative). Most of the top ten performing funds did well in both periods. Across the broader universe, there was no relationship between performance in the two periods.



Chart 2: SR50 Balanced funds – performance over first and second six months to 30 June 2022

Note: The dark grey circles on the chart represent all other funds Source: Frontier, SuperRatings



3

Risk and return

Chart 3 shows performance and risk as defined by the standard deviation of returns for the twelve months to 30 June 2022. The majority of the outperformance of the top 10 funds here exhibited lower standard deviation than the median with the exception of legalsuper and Christian Super.



Chart 3: SR50 Balanced funds - standard deviation versus one-year return to 30 June 2022

Note: The dark grey circles on the chart represent all other funds



Asset allocation

Based on the most recent asset allocations shown in Table 2, funds with greater exposure to unlisted assets across private equity, property and infrastructure performed well (noting some funds classify these exposures as alternatives or other).

Option	Growth	Defensive	Australian shares	International shares	Private equity	Property	Infrastructure	Alternatives	Bonds	Cash	Other
Hostplus – Balanced	77.7%	22.3%	24.5%	29.9%	10.4%	9.5%	7.8%	7.1%	4.1%	6.7%	0.0%
Christian Super – My Ethical Super	72.9%	27.2%	27.7%	29.6%	7.9%	10.0%	6.1%	1.2%	15.6%	0.1%	1.8%
Australian Retirement Trust – Balanced	73.8%	26.2%	26.5%	27.0%	6.5%	8.5%	9.5%	5.5%	12.5%	4.0%	0.0%
legalsuper – Balanced	73.7%	26.3%	26.8%	28.3%	3.6%	12.8%	10.2%	0.0%	7.3%	4.0%	6.9%
Energy Super – Balanced	74.5%	25.5%	26.2%	29.9%	0.0%	9.9%	9.0%	8.3%	13.7%	2.9%	0.1%
CareSuper – Balanced	69.1%	30.9%	22.0%	26.0%	5.0%	10.0%	10.0%	13.5%	6.0%	5.0%	2.5%
Australian Catholic Superannuation and Retirement – Balanced	78.0%	22.0%	26.9%	26.9%	4.1%	11.8%	15.0%	9.7%	4.4%	1.2%	0.0%
HESTA – Balanced Growth	74.5%	25.5%	25.3%	29.4%	7.2%	6.7%	10.2%	3.2%	7.9%	8.4%	1.7%
TelstraSuper - MySuper Balanced	69.0%	31.0%	22.0%	28.0%	5.0%	12.0%	7.0%	0.0%	12.0%	7.0%	7.0%
Top quartile	74.6%	30.1%	27.0%	33.2%	5.0%	10.0%	9.5%	7.9%	21.3%	8.2%	4.3%
Median	71.4%	28.6%	26.2%	29.1%	0.0%	8.2%	5.6%	1.8%	14.2%	4.8%	0.0%
Bottom quartile	69.9%	25.4%	23.0%	26.5%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	2.8%	0.0%

Table 2: Universe asset allocation

Source: Frontier, SuperRatings



Allocation to unlisted assets

Chart 4 shows the correlation between the total allocation to private equity, property and infrastructure versus the performance during the year. This shows a strong correlation - funds with higher allocations achieved better performance. Most of these assets will be unlisted (particularly for profit-for-member funds) and undergo periodic revaluations which often lag listed markets and indices. As a result, they have held up better during a period of risk-off sentiment across markets.

Chart 4: SR50 Balanced funds - correlation of private equity, property and infrastructure allocation versus one-year performance to 30 June 2022



The question of whether size matters

Funds are required to undertake an annual member outcomes assessment of whether its members are disadvantaged by virtue of the fund's scale.

Similar to last year, size of fund was not a significant predictor of return. Only three of the top ten performers had assets greater than \$30 billion, while five had less than \$15 billion. Other 'small' funds, such as First Super and MIESF not included in the SuperRatings survey, also posted positive returns. While the poorest performing funds all had less than \$5 billion, many other funds of similar size produced very good performance.

Over a ten-year period this metric shows a stronger correlation between size and performance. Funds greater than \$10 billion have had better performance on average. While funds with better performance attract more new members, it is unclear whether the larger funds have better performance because they are large, or whether they are large because they have better performance.



Chart 5: One-year to 30 June 2022





Source: SuperRatings

Longer-term performance

Does it equate to persistent outperformance?

With superannuation being a long-term investment, members should be looking for a fund with good performance consistency rather than just one good year. Table 3 examines the performance of the top ten funds over the ten years to June 2022. Only four of these funds were ranked in the top ten for the year just ended. Even more interestingly, four of the funds were below average over FY22.

AustralianSuper and HESTA stand alone in terms of performance consistency in this analysis – they are the only funds which have outperformed the average fund in each of the last ten financial years. Somewhat unsurprisingly, given their higher allocation to growth assets and younger membership demographic, Hostplus has either appeared near the top or the bottom, but never near the middle, in individual years – much more frequently in the former.

Most of these funds have had two or more years when their performance in a year has been below average. Only three funds have had at least one year when their return ranked them in the bottom quartile of peers.

A lesson well understood by many in the industry, but perhaps less so by fund members and other parties, is that a single year of good performance does not necessarily result in good long-term performance. Similarly, a couple of underperforming years across a decade does not translate to poor longer-term returns.

Fund	10 y	/ear	2021/22 year	Annua	Annual performance quartiles over the decade				
Fulla	Return (%pa)	Rank	Rank	Тор	Second	Third	Bottom		
Hostplus - Balanced	9.7	1	1	8	0	1	1		
AustralianSuper - Balanced	9.3	2	18	8	2	0	0		
Australian Retirement Trust - Super Savings - Balanced	9.0	3	4	6	3	1	0		
Cbus - Growth (MySuper)	9.0	4	30	7	2	1	0		
UniSuper - Balanced	8.9	5	36	6	1	3	0		
CareSuper - Balanced	8.7	6	8	5	3	2	0		
VicSuper - Growth (MySuper)	8.7	7	23	4	4	2	0		
HESTA - Balanced Growth	8.5	8	9	2	8	0	0		
Hostplus - Indexed Balanced	8.5	9	45	4	3	2	1		
Aware Super - Growth	8.5	10	28	5	3	1	1		

Table 3: Ten year performance consistency

Source: SuperRatings



Longer-term performance

Performance in different markets

Chart 7 shows the average monthly excess return in down markets versus the average monthly excess return in up markets. The chart, analysed over a 5-year period, shows that not many funds are good in both up markets and in poor markets. The majority of funds are taking more risk (outperform in up markets) or less risk (outperform in down markets).





Source: Frontier, SuperRatings

frontier

The final word

Superannuation is a long-term investment and it is long-term returns which impact final member outcomes. Analysing short-term performance can be helpful, especially in understanding how performance was achieved and whether there are any trends. Waiting ten years to determine a fund is persistently underperforming could negatively impact a members' final benefits.

However, basing an assessment of a fund on one year of good performance has limitations. As we have highlighted in this report, funds which do well in strong equity markets can find it more challenging when those markets decline. Allocations to unlisted assets can help returns, as their valuations are less influenced by the fluctuations in market sentiment.

Basing an assessment on longer-term performance has more appeal. However, care is needed to differentiate between those funds which have done well in the past and those funds which may do well in the future.

A robust assessment across a wider range of factors is needed to be satisfied each fund is of appropriate quality and provides good value for members. A 'bright line' test based on a single metric will misrepresent the complexity of 'past performance being a guide to the future'. Instead, we believe a better outcome comes from analysing:

- Investment performance measured across multiple time periods, and consideration of the level and nature of investment risk.
- · Level of fees and costs, particularly where these are increasing.
- Size of assets and cashflow position, especially if the cashflow is negative.
- · Fund governance, business management and trustee oversight.
- Other factors, such as member services and other qualitative factors.

The focus should be on improved outcomes for members over the long-term.



Enabling superior investment outcomes

Frontier Partners Platform

Frontier is an award winning investment consultancy business, with a leading edge investment technology product and capability.

We see technology as an enabler of superior client outcomes and it is been a key strategic pillar and core part of our business since 2013. Our dedicated and expert technology team is focused on building software applications to assist asset owners make better long-term investment decisions.

The Partners Platform provides a state-of-the-art technology platform to allow institutional investors to build and model their portfolios, observing potential outcomes of asset and fund manager allocation decisions, risks, factors and providing performance attributions.

Our *Peer Comparison* module provides the ability to conduct peer and industry comparison and attribution. *Peer Comparison* allows users to analyse risk and return metrics, view performance characteristics including Your Super Your Future, attribute performance against asset allocation positioning, regress performance against independent variables to discover key drivers, and research member benefit and balance distributions by age group.

Key features include:



Performance Dashboard



Asset Allocation







Ffrontier	
Level 17, 130 Lonsdale Street	
Melbourne, Victoria 3000	
Tel: +61 3 8648 4300	
frontieradvisors.com.au	
@frontier_adv	
Disclaimer:	

Frontier Advisors Pty Ltd ABN 21 074 287 406 AFS Licence No. 241266

The information contained in this presentation is current as at the date of preparation, but may be subject to change. The information contained in this presentation is intended as general commentary and should not be regarded as financial, legal or other advice. This presentation has been prepared without taking into account your objectives, financial situation or needs. You should consider this presentation in light of these matters. Should you require specific advice on the topics or areas discussed please contact the presenter directly or an appropriate advisor. This presentation may contain forward-looking statements. These are not facts, rather, these forward-looking statements are based on the current beliefs, assumptions, expectations, estimates, and projections of Frontier Advisors Pty Ltd about the business, the industry and the markets in which we operate. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Frontier Advisors Pty Ltd makes no representation or warranty that any of the information contained in this presentation is accurate or complete. To the maximum extent permitted by law, Frontier Advisors Pty Ltd does not accept any liability for loss arising from any reliance placed on the use of this presentation including the information contained within it. The contents of this presentation are confidential and must not be disclosed to any third party without our written consent. This presentation must not be copied, reproduced or distributed without the written consent of Frontier Advisors Pty Ltd. Frontier Advisors Pty Ltd does not avoid or distributed without the written consent of Frontier Advisors Pty Ltd. Frontier Advisors Pty Ltd does not provide taxation advice and you should seek your own independent taxation advice from a registered tax agent.